# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	<b>INFORMATION</b>
------------	------------	--------------------

- A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 12/5/2022
- B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Fort Worth District, SWF-2022-00440
- C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

County: Hays City: Near San Marcos

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 29.840000 N, Long. -97.913522 W. Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: San Marcos River

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: San Marcos River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 121002030304

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

# D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date:

Field Determination. Date(s): 11/29/2022

### SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

#### A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are No "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

## B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are No "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

#### Waters of the U.S.

rs of the U.S.
Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 

TNWs, including territorial seas

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs

Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indire Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly in Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly in Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly in manufactures of irrisdictional waters.

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
Relatively permanent waters' (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area (See attached tables):
 Non-wetland waters: – linear feet and – acres total for open water ponds Wetlands: – acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual and OHWM indicators.

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Unknown.

# Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to not be jurisdictional. Explain: Two ephemeral stream reaches exist on the tract and the site also historically contained an impoundment that has been breached (non-wetland or water). The upper stream reach where OHWM indicators exist terminates and becomes a sheet flow area for more than 450 feet to the eastern edge of the tract of property near Staples Road. There, several discontinuous and random channel reaches measuring up to 1 foot wide and averaging 5 feet in length with upland sheetflow break areas of 20 or more feet occur on the eastern edge of the tract with separation from the culvert under Staples Road. These features are reflected as a single reach on the delineation map. Immediately downstream (offsite) there is a visible break in any OHWM or water feature and only has sheetflow for more than 400 feet between 2 scour pool areas which would have OHWMs. This area was viewed from the road as well as viewed on aerial photography confirming this break. This effectively isolates the entire assessment site. Site visit was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least

<sup>&</sup>quot;seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

## conducted after multiple (more than 5) precipitation events occurring in the past 30 days, with 2 totaling more than 1-inch, which did not manifest any flow indicators or ponding.

SECTION III:	CWA	ANAL VSIS

TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

TNW Identify TNW: Summarize rationale supporting determination:

Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent :

CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met. The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributary are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.4. A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus significant nexus evaluation. It the waterbody has a significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in the permanent ributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in the permanent of the waterbody has a significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in the permanent of the waterbody has a significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in the waterbody has a significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combinent of a malytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in the permanent of th

				directly or indi	rectly into TNW		
		Area Condition					
		ed size: acres					
		area: acres					
		annual rainfall: - annual snowfall					
	Average	aiiiuai siiowiaii	menes				
(ii)		Physical Chara					
,	(a)	Relationship wit	th TNW: ws directly into Th	TW/			
			ws through tribu		ring TNW		
		Project waters a	re Pick List river	miles from TNW.	ing III.		
			re Pick List river				
			re aerial (straigh				
		Project waters a	re aerial (straigh	) miles from RPV	V.		
		Identify flow ro	ross or serve as sta	te boundaries. No	Explain: .		
		Tributary stream	order, if known:.				
		(b)	Ganaral Tributar	y Characteristics (	abaak all that an	also).	
		Tributary is:	□ Natura	l. Explain:	check an mat ap	<u> </u>	
			<del>-</del>	☐ Artificial (ma			
				■ Manipulated		Explain: .	
			erties with respect	to top of bank (est	imate):		
			e width: feet				
			e depth: feet e side slopes: Pick	List			
			y substrate compos		at apply):		
		☐ Silt	s	Sands			☐ Concrete
		☐ Cob			□ Gravel		☐ Muck
		☐ Bed			■ Vegetation.	Type/% cover:	
			er. Explain:				
			ion/stability [e.g., l riffle/pool complex		oughing banks].	Explain:	
		Tributary geome		ces. Explain.	•		
			nt (approximate av	erage slope): %			
		()	FI				
		(c) Tributary provid	Flow: les for: Pick List				
			e number of flow e	vents in review ar	ea/year: Pick Li	it	
			e flow regime:				
			on on duration and				
		Surface flow is:	Pick List. Charac	teristics:. Unknown. Expl	-i- C- 1:		
		□ Dve	(or other) test per		am mungs:	•	
		,-	Tributary has (ch	eck all that apply	):		
			l and banks				
			WM <sup>6</sup> (check all in				
			clear, natural li		he bank	☐ the presenc	e of litter and debris
			☐ changes in the ☐ shelving	character of soil			destruction of terrestrial vegetation
			☐ shelving ☐ vegetation mat	ted down, bent or	absent		the presence of wrack line sediment sorting
			leaf litter distur				scour
			sediment depos		*		<ul> <li>multiple observed or predicted flow even</li> </ul>
		I	■ water staining				abrupt change in plant community
			other (list):	. 7			
		☐ Dise	continuous OHWN	f. Explain:			1. 11.4 1.5
						of CWA jurisdiction (chec	
			High Tide Line in oil or scum line			Water Mark indicated by:	☐ survey to available datum;
			fine shell/debri	s denosits (foresh	ore)		physical markings;
			physical marki	ngs/characteristics	3		vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
			tidal gauges				_ 0 0 7.
			other (list):				
		Chemical Char			1 61	Fe. 1 . 1 . 1 . 1	aracteristics, etc.). Explain:.
		fic pollutants, if		ear, discolored, o	ny min; water qu	anty, general watershed ch	aracteristics, etc.). Explain
(iv) Riol	ogical C	haractoristics	Channel supports	(check all that a	nnly):		
	Ō	Riparian corrido	r. Characteristics				
		Wetland fringe.	Characteristics:				
		Habitat for:					
			sted species. Expla		•		
			reas. Explain findi	ngs: .			
				- P 1	C 1:		
		□ Other enviro	nmentally-sensitiv		n findings:	•	
		□ Other enviro			n findings:		

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. <sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. <sup>7</sup>Ibid.

		(a)	General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:			
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:. Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics: Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings:			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  Directly abutting  Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:  Ecological connection. Explain:  Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:			
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List acrial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the			
	(ii) Id		Chemical Characteristics: terize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:. ecific pollutants, if known:.			
	(iii)		ical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:.  Habitat for:  Gederally Listed species. Explain findings:  Gillsh'spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:			
3.	Chara	All we	sof all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) tand(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List ximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. ch wetland, specify the following:  Directly abuts? (Y/N)  Size (in acres)  Directly abuts? (Y/N)			
	Summa	arize ove	rall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:.			
A signi and bid physica and the between	ficant no ological i al and/or e functio n a tribu	exus ana integrity biologic ns perfo itary and	S DETERMINATION  In the properties of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical, of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, cal integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, runed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or dit the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland les within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.  een the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:			
:	Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?  Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?  Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?  Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?					
Note: t	he abov	e list of c	onsiderations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:			
1.	Signifi Section		us findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to			
2.			us findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in thall of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:			
3.	Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D.					

DETE	RMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.    Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:   Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):   Tributary estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):   Tributary flows seasonally:   Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):   Other non-welland waters:   Identify type(s) of waters:   Identify type(s) of waters:   Identify type(s) of waters:   Provide rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:   Tributary flows seasonally:   Provide rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:   Tributary flows seasonally:   Provide rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:   Provide rationale indicati
	3. Non-RPWs* that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: a cress.  Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:  Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional.  Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.  Provide acrossor estimates for intrinsictional wetlands in the review area; across

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters and have, when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

c.

D.

	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	INCLU	TED  INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE  WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE.  DING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10  ch are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.  which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.  ch are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.  restate isolated waters. Explain:  er factors. Explain:
	Provide Tri	water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): butary waters: linear feet width (ft). er non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: lands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	juri: agri	LATED - Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of sdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated culture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): <b>Less than 1,000</b> linear feet <b>1</b> width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	mee	LS SIGNIFICANT NEXUS - Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not at the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
SE	<u>CTI(</u>	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	whe	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, are checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. No wetlands present.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. Online viewer  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: In delineation report.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: In delineation report.
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: In delineation report.  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps: Online viewer.  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
<sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

# B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: